

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------|
| WILLIAM J. MOYLE, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | 8:12CV434 |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| CONCRETE INDUSTRIES, INC., |) | ORDER |
| ROGER T. CLARKE, and NEBCO, |) | |
| INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| MECHANICAL SYSTEMS, INC., and |) | |
| ACUITY INSURANCE COMPANY, its |) | |
| workers' compensation insurer, |) | |
| |) | |
| Intervenors/Defendants. |) | |
| _____ |) | |

After review of the objections noted in the trial
deposition of Roger Clark,

IT IS ORDERED that the objections are overruled or
sustained as noted:

Page 47, Line 15: Sustained

Page 49, Line 2: Sustained

Page 58, Line 10: Sustained

Page 63, Lines 5-6: Sustained

Page 64, Lines 1-2: Sustained;
Lines 19-20: Overruled

Page 65, Lines 11-12, 21-22:
Sustained

Page 124, Line 23: Overruled

Page 125, Line 4: Sustained; Lines
12, 14: Overruled; Lines 21-22:
Sustained

Page 126, Lines 23, 25: Overruled

Page 127, Line 1: Overruled

Page 186, Lines 18-19: Overruled

Page 187, Line 2: Sustained; Line
20: Overruled; Line 25: Sustained

When objections have been sustained, the question in connection with those objections shall be deleted from the video deposition. When objections are overruled, those objections should also be deleted.

DATED this 25th day of September, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge
United States District Court